

# The Form of the Spectral Functions Associated with Sturm–Liouville Equations with Large Negative Potential

B. J. Harris

metadata, citation and similar papers at [core.ac.uk](http://core.ac.uk)

*Submitted by Joyce R. McLaughlin*

Received February 10, 1997

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the form of the spectral function,  $\rho_\alpha(\lambda)$ , associated with the linear, second order differential equation

$$y'' + (\lambda - q)y = 0 \quad (0 \leq x < \infty) \quad (1.1)$$

together with the initial condition

$$y(0)\cos(\alpha) + y'(0)\sin(\alpha) = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

We suppose that  $q$  is a real-valued member of  $C^2[0, \infty)$ ,  $q(x) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (q')^2 / |q|^{-5/2} dx &< \infty, \\ \int_0^\infty |q''| |q|^{-3/2} dx &< \infty, \\ \int_0^\infty |q|^{-1/2} dx &\text{ is divergent.} \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

It is known (see, for example, [3, pp. 255–256], [10, Sects. 5.7 and 5.10], and [7]) that under these circumstances  $\rho_\alpha$  is absolutely continuous on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . The problem of determining the form of  $\rho_\alpha$  has received less attention. Asymptotic approximations were derived in [1], some of the earlier papers referenced therein, and, very recently, in [5]. Our object in

this paper is to derive an exact representation for  $\rho_\alpha(\lambda)$  in the form of a series valid for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ , where  $\lambda_0$  is computable. We use this representation to derive an asymptotic expansion for  $\rho_\alpha(\lambda)$  under circumstances somewhat more general than those considered in [5]. Much more general circumstances have been considered in [2], where one term asymptotics are obtained.

The basis for our analysis is a classical representation of  $\rho_\alpha$  derived in [10] in terms of solutions of (1.1) with certain asymptotic properties. The solutions themselves are calculated using invariants of solutions of a Riccati equation associated with (1.1). This is a method which was introduced in [9].

## 2. THE RESULTS

Let

$$I(t, \lambda) := \frac{q''}{4(\lambda - q)^{3/2}} + \frac{5(q')^2}{16(\lambda - q)^{5/2}}.$$

We suppose throughout that  $\lambda - q(t) > 0$  and

$$\frac{I(t, \lambda)}{(\lambda - q(t))^{1/2}} \text{ is positive and decreasing for all } t \geq 0 \text{ and } \lambda \geq \lambda_0. \quad (2.1)$$

We note that (1.3) implies that

$$I(\cdot, \lambda) \in L^1[0, \infty) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \geq \lambda_0. \quad (2.2)$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} v_2(x, \lambda) &:= (\lambda - q(x))^{1/2} \int_x^\infty \exp\left(2i \int_x^t (\lambda - q)^{1/2} ds\right) \\ &\quad \times (\lambda - q(t))^{-1/2} I(t, \lambda) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{j+1}(x, \lambda) &:= (\lambda - q(x))^{1/2} \int_x^\infty \exp\left(2i \int_x^t (\lambda - q)^{1/2} ds\right) \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(2 \sum_{l=2}^j \int_x^t v_l(x, \lambda) ds\right) (\lambda - q(t))^{-1/2} I(t, \lambda) dt \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

for  $j = 2, 3, \dots$ .

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 v(x, \lambda) &:= i(\lambda - q)^{1/2} + \frac{q'}{4(\lambda - q)} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} v_j(x, \lambda), \\
 S(x, \lambda) &:= \frac{q'}{4(\lambda - q)} + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} v_j(x, \lambda) \right\}, \\
 T(x, \lambda) &:= (\lambda - q)^{1/2} + \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} v_j(x, \lambda) \right\}, \\
 S^*(x, \lambda) &:= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} v_j(x, \lambda) \right\}, \\
 T^*(x, \lambda) &:= \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} v_j(x, \lambda) \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $q \in C^2[0, \infty)$  satisfies (1.3), (2.1), and (2.2), then there exists  $\lambda_0 \geq 0$  such that for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho'_0(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{\pi} (\lambda - q(0))^{1/2} \left[ 1 + \frac{T^*(0, \lambda)}{(\lambda - q(0))^{1/2}} \right]^2 \exp \left( -2 \int_0^{\infty} S^*(t, \lambda) dt \right), \\
 \rho'_\alpha(\lambda) &= \frac{T(0, \lambda)^2 \exp \left( -2 \int_0^{\infty} S^*(t, \lambda) dt \right)}{\pi (\lambda - q(0))^{1/2} \sin(\alpha) \{ (S(0, \lambda) + \cot \alpha)^2 + T(0, \lambda)^2 \}} \\
 &\quad \text{for } \alpha \neq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

In order to derive an asymptotic result from Theorem 1 we suppose that  $q \in C^{N-1}[0, \infty)$  and  $q^{(N-1)}$  is locally absolutely continuous for some  $N \geq 4$  and we define

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_2(x) &:= \frac{q'(x)}{4}, & a_3(x) &:= \frac{iq''(x)}{8}, & a_4(x) &:= \frac{-q^{(3)}(x)}{8}, \\
 a_{k+1}(x) &:= \frac{i}{2} \left\{ a'_k + \frac{(k-2)}{2} q' a_{k-2} + \sum_{s=2}^{k-2} a_s a_{k-2} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 2, \dots, N-1$ .

Let

$$\alpha(\lambda) := \max_{\substack{s+t=k \\ 2 \leq s, t \leq N \\ k=N, \dots, 2N}} \int_0^\infty \frac{|a_s(x)| |a_t(x)|}{(\lambda - q(x))^{k/2}} dx, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\beta(\lambda) := \int_0^\infty |a'_N(x)| (\lambda - q(x))^{-N/2} dx. \quad (2.7)$$

**THEOREM 2.** *If, in addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 1,*

- (i)  $q^{(n)}(t)/(-q(t))^{(n+1)/2} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (ii)  $q^{(n)}/(-q)^{(n+1)/2} \in L^2[0, \infty)$  for  $n = 1, \dots, N-1$ ,
- (iii)  $q^{(N)}/(-q)^{N/2} \in L^1[0, \infty)$ ,
- (iv)  $(-1)^{m-1} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} q^{(2m-1)}(t)/(-q(t))^m dt \leq C < \infty$  for  $0 \leq x_1 < x_2 < \infty$  and  $m = 2, \dots, [N/2]$ , where  $[a]$  denotes the integral part of  $a$ , then

$$\left| T^*(x, \lambda) + i \sum_{\substack{k=3 \\ k \text{ odd}}}^N a_k(x) (\lambda - q(x))^{-k/2} \right| = O(\alpha(\lambda) + \beta(\lambda)),$$

$$\left| S^*(x, \lambda) - \sum_{\substack{k=4 \\ k \text{ even}}}^N a_k(x) (\lambda - q(x))^{-k/2} \right| = O(\alpha(\lambda) + \beta(\lambda))$$

as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 3. A RESULT OF TITCHMARSH

Let  $\phi(x, \lambda)$  denote the solution of (1.1) which satisfies the initial conditions

$$\phi(0, \lambda) = -\sin(\alpha), \quad \phi'(0, \lambda) = \cos(\alpha). \quad (3.1)$$

It was shown by Titchmarsh [10, Sect. 5.10] that, under conditions (1.1) and (1.3), for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  there exist functions  $a(\lambda)$  and  $b(\lambda)$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x, \lambda) &= (\lambda - q(x))^{-1/4} \{a(\lambda) \cos(\xi(x)) + b(\lambda) \sin(\xi(x))\} \\ &\quad + \Phi(x, \lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\Phi(x, \lambda) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\xi(x) := \int_0^x (\lambda - q(t))^{1/2} dt$ .

It was further shown that

$$\rho'_\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi(a(\lambda)^2 + b(\lambda)^2)}. \quad (3.3)$$

This result is not quite in Titchmarsh's form. For the modern notation we refer to [4] and [5]. It is our object to use the methods of [9] to derive a solution of (1.1) and (3.1) in the form of (3.2). This enables us to identify  $a(\lambda)$  and  $b(\lambda)$  which, by (3.3), give a representation of  $\rho'_\alpha(\lambda)$ .

#### 4. THE METHOD OF SOLUTION

We give a brief account of the method which was introduced in [9] and the earlier papers cited therein.

Let  $y(x, \lambda)$  denote a solution of (1.1) which is complex-valued in the sense that neither the real nor the imaginary part is identically zero. Since  $\lambda$  and  $q$  are real-valued, we may write

$$y = y_1 + iy_2, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are real-valued solutions of (1.1). We may also write

$$y(x, \lambda) := R(x, \lambda)e^{i\theta(x, \lambda)}, \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\theta$  and  $R$  are real-valued. It follows from (4.2) that

$$\frac{y'}{y} = \frac{R'}{R} + i\theta'. \quad (4.3)$$

**LEMMA 1.** *If there exists  $x_0 \in [0, \infty)$  with  $R(x_0, \lambda)^2 \theta'(x_0, \lambda) \neq 0$ , then  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are linearly independent solutions of (1.1).*

This lemma is proved in [9, Lemma 1].

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $z(x, \lambda)$  is any real-valued solution of (1.1) and  $\theta, R$  satisfy the condition of Lemma 1, then there exist real-valued  $p$  and  $\psi$  with  $z(x, \lambda) \equiv p(x, \lambda)\cos(\psi(x, \lambda))$ , where  $p'/p = R'/R$  and  $\psi' = \theta'$ .*

This result is also proved in [9].

Lemma 2 provides a means to associate invariants with Eq. (1.1) in the following sense. Any complex-valued solution,  $y$ , gives rise to  $R'/R$  and  $\theta'$  which, via Lemma 2, give a representation for all real-valued solutions.

Let  $Y(x, \lambda)$  denote any complex-valued solution of (1.1) and define

$$S(x, \lambda) := \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{Y'(x, \lambda)}{Y(x, \lambda)} \right], \quad T(x, \lambda) := \operatorname{Im} \left[ \frac{Y'(x, \lambda)}{Y(x, \lambda)} \right]. \quad (4.4)$$

If there exists  $x_0$  with  $T(x_0, \lambda) \neq 0$ , then  $S$  and  $T$  are independent of the particular solution,  $Y$ , and any nontrivial, real-valued, solution  $z$ , may be expressed as

$$z(x, \lambda) = c_1 \exp\left(\int_0^x S(t, \lambda) dt\right) \cos\left(c_2 + \int_0^x T(t, \lambda) dt\right). \quad (4.5)$$

It was shown in [9] that  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  may be expressed in terms of the  $\alpha$  of (3.1) as follows:

$$\text{if } \alpha = 0, \text{ then } c_2 = \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ and } c_1 = \frac{-1}{T(0, \lambda)}; \quad (4.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } \alpha \neq 0, \text{ then } c_2 &= \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{T(0, \lambda)}(S(0, \lambda) + \cot(\alpha))\right), \\ c_1 &= \frac{-\sin(\alpha)}{\cos(c_2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

It will be shown below that

$$S(x, \lambda) = \frac{q'}{4(\lambda - q)} + S^*(x, \lambda), \quad (4.8)$$

$$T(x, \lambda) = (\lambda - q)^{1/2} + T^*(x, \lambda). \quad (4.9)$$

Thus, any real-valued solution,  $z$ , of (1.1) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} z(x, \lambda) &= \frac{1}{(\lambda - q(x))^{1/4}} \\ &\times \left\{ c_1 (\lambda - q(0))^{1/4} \exp\left(\int_0^x S^*(t, \lambda) dt\right) \right. \\ &\times \cos\left[c_2 + \int_0^x (\lambda - q(t))^{1/2} dt + \int_0^x T^*(t, \lambda) dt\right] \Big\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

If

$$\int_0^\infty S^*(t, \lambda) dt < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty T^*(t, \lambda) dt < \infty, \quad (4.11)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 z(x, \lambda) = & \frac{1}{(\lambda - q(x))^{1/4}} \left\{ c_1(\lambda - q(0))^{1/4} \exp\left(\int_0^\infty S^*(t, \lambda) dt\right) \right. \\
 & \times \cos\left(c_2 + \int_0^\infty T^*(t, \lambda) dt\right) \cos(\xi(x)) \\
 & - c_1(\lambda - q(0))^{1/4} \exp\left(\int_0^\infty S^*(t, \lambda) dt\right) \\
 & \times \sin\left(c_2 + \int_0^\infty T^*(t, \lambda) dt\right) \sin(\xi(x)) \Big\} \\
 & + \Phi(x, \lambda),
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where  $\Phi(x, \lambda) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\xi(x) := \int_0^x (\lambda - q(t))^{1/2} dt$ .

By comparing (3.2) and (4.12) we see that

$$a(\lambda) = c_1(\lambda - q(0))^{1/4} \exp\left(\int_0^\infty S^*(t, \lambda) dt\right) \cos\left(c_2 + \int_0^\infty T^*(t, \lambda) dt\right), \tag{4.13}$$

$$b(\lambda) = -c_1(\lambda - q(0))^{1/4} \exp\left(\int_0^\infty S^*(t, \lambda) dt\right) \sin\left(c_2 + \int_0^\infty T^*(t, \lambda) dt\right). \tag{4.14}$$

It follows from (4.13) and (4.14) that

$$a(\lambda)^2 + b(\lambda)^2 = c_1^2(\lambda - q(0))^{1/2} \exp\left(2 \int_0^\infty S^*(t, \lambda) dt\right). \tag{4.15}$$

By (3.3), (4.6), (4.7), and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(0, \lambda) &= (\lambda - q(0))^{1/2} + T^*(0, \lambda) \\
 &= (\lambda - q(0))^{1/2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{T^*(0, \lambda)}{(\lambda - q(0))^{1/2}} \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

we see that, for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ ,

$$\rho'_0(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi}(\lambda - q(0))^{1/2} \left[ 1 + \frac{T^*(0, \lambda)}{(\lambda - q(0))^{1/2}} \right]^2 \exp \left( -2 \int_0^\infty S^*(t, \lambda) dt \right) \quad (4.16)$$

and, for  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,

$$\rho'_\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{T(0, \lambda)^2 \exp \left( -2 \int_0^\infty S^*(t, \lambda) dt \right)}{\pi(\lambda - q(0))^{1/2} \sin^2(\alpha) \{ (S(0, \lambda) + \cot \alpha)^2 + T(0, \lambda)^2 \}}. \quad (4.17)$$

These are the representations given in Theorem 1. We now show that  $S$  and  $T$  satisfy (2.4) and (2.5).

## 5. RICATTI EQUATIONS

Let  $y(x, \lambda)$  denote a complex-valued solution of (1.1). The particular solution will be chosen below. It may readily be seen that if  $v(x, \lambda) := y'(x, \lambda)/y(x, \lambda)$ , then  $v$  satisfies the Ricatti equation

$$v' + v^2 + (\lambda - q) = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

We seek a solution of (5.1) in the form  $v = \sum_{n=0}^\infty v_n$ , substitution of which into (5.1) gives

$$v'_0 + v_0^2 + (\lambda - q) + \sum_{n=1}^\infty v'_n + \sum_{n=1}^\infty v_n \sum_{m=0}^\infty v_m + v_0 \sum_{m=1}^\infty v_m = 0.$$

We choose

$$v_0(x, \lambda) = i(\lambda - q)^{1/2} \quad (5.2)$$

and then

$$v'_0 + v'_1 + 2v_0v_1 + v_1^2 + \sum_{n=2}^\infty v'_n + \sum_{n=2}^\infty v_n \sum_{m=0}^\infty v_m + \sum_{n=0}^1 v_n \sum_{m=2}^\infty v_m = 0.$$

We choose  $v_1$  so that  $2v_0v_2 = -v'_0$ , whence

$$v_1(x, \lambda) = \frac{q'}{4(\lambda - q)}. \quad (5.3)$$



Thus,

$$v_1' + v_1^2 + v_2' + 2(v_0 + v_1)v_2 + v_2^2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} v_n' + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} v_n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} v_m + \sum_{n=1}^2 v_n \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} v_m = 0. \quad (5.4)$$

We choose  $v_2$  to satisfy

$$v_2' + 2(v_0 + v_1)v_2 = -(v_1' + v_1^2).$$

In particular,

$$v_2(x, \lambda) = \int_x^{\infty} \exp\left(2 \int_x^t (v_0 + v_1) ds\right) (v_1' + v_1^2) dt.$$

It may be seen that

$$\exp\left(2 \int_x^t v_1(s, \lambda) ds\right) = \frac{(\lambda - q(x))^{1/2}}{(\lambda - q(t))^{1/2}}$$

and

$$v_1' + v_1^2 = \frac{q''}{4(\lambda - q)} + \frac{5(q')^2}{16(\lambda - q)^2},$$

so

$$v_2(x, \lambda) = (\lambda - q(x))^{1/2} \int_x^{\infty} \exp\left(2i \int_x^t (\lambda - q)^{1/2} ds\right) I(t, \lambda) dt, \quad (5.5)$$

where

$$I(t, \lambda) := \frac{q'}{4(\lambda - q)^{3/2}} + \frac{5(q')^2}{16(\lambda - q)^{5/2}}.$$

Proceeding in this way we choose  $v_{J+1}$ , for  $J \geq 1$ , to satisfy

$$v_{J+1}' + 2\left(\sum_{k=0}^J v_k\right)v_{J+1} = -v_J^2 \quad (5.6)$$

and

$$v_{J+1}(x, \lambda) = (\lambda - q(x))^{1/2} \int_x^{\infty} \exp\left(2i \int_x^t (\lambda - q)^{1/2} ds\right) \times \exp\left(2 \sum_{l=2}^J \int_x^t v_l ds\right) (\lambda - q(t))^{-1/2} v_J(t, \lambda)^2 dt. \quad (5.7)$$

We recall from (2.1) and (2.2) that  $(\lambda - q(t))^{-1/2}I(t, \lambda)$  is decreasing for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  and  $I(\cdot, \lambda) \in L^1[0, \infty)$  for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ .

LEMMA 3. *If  $\lambda_0$  is so large that*

$$\lambda - q(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \geq 0$$

and

$$4 \exp\left(8 \int_0^\infty I(s, \lambda) ds\right) \int_0^\infty I(t, \lambda) dt < 1 \quad \text{for all } \lambda \geq \lambda_0,$$

then  $|v_j(x, \lambda)| \leq (I(x, \lambda))/2^{j-3}$  for  $x \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ , and  $j = 2, 3, \dots$ .

*Proof.* We use induction on  $j$ . Consider first the case  $j = 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} v_2(x, \lambda) &= (\lambda - q(x))^{1/2} \int_x^\infty \left\{ \cos\left(2 \int_x^t (\lambda - q)^{1/2} ds\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + i \sin\left(2 \int_x^t (\lambda - q)^{1/2} ds\right) \right\} I(t, \lambda) dt \\ &= (\lambda - q(x))^{1/2} \int_x^\infty \left\{ 2(\lambda - q(t))^{1/2} \cos\left(2 \int_x^t (\lambda - q(s))^{1/2} ds\right) \right\} \\ &\quad \times \frac{I(t, \lambda)}{2(\lambda - q(t))^{1/2}} dt + i(\lambda - q(x))^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \int_x^\infty \left\{ 2(\lambda - q(t))^{1/2} \sin\left(2 \int_x^t (\lambda - q(s))^{1/2} ds\right) \right\} \\ &\quad \times \frac{I(t, \lambda)}{2(\lambda - q(t))^{1/2}} dt \\ &= \frac{I(x, \lambda)}{2} \int_{\xi_1(x)}^\infty \frac{d}{dt} \cos\left(\int_x^t (\lambda - q)^{1/2} ds\right) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{I(x, \lambda)}{2} \int_{\xi_2(x)}^\infty \frac{d}{dt} \sin\left(\int_x^t (\lambda - q)^{1/2} ds\right) dt \end{aligned}$$

by the second mean value theorem, whence

$$|v_2(x, \lambda)| \leq 2I(x, \lambda).$$

Suppose now that the result were true for  $j = 2, \dots, J$ . We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 2 \int_x^t \sum_{l=2}^J v_l(x, \lambda) ds \right\} &\leq 2 \int_x^t \sum_{l=2}^J |v_l(s, \lambda)| ds \\ &\leq 2 \left( \int_x^t I(s, \lambda) ds \right) \sum_{l=2}^J 2^{-(l-3)} \\ &\leq 4 \left( \int_x^t I(s, \lambda) ds \right) \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} 2^{-(l-2)} \\ &\leq 8 \int_x^t I(s, \lambda) ds \leq 8 \int_0^{\infty} I(s, \lambda) ds. \quad (5.8) \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |v_{J+1}(x, \lambda)| &\leq (\lambda - q(x))^{1/2} \int_x^{\infty} \left| \exp \left( 2i \int_x^t (\lambda - q)^{1/2} ds \right) \right| \\ &\quad \times \left| \exp \left( 2 \int_x^t \sum_{l=2}^J v_l ds \right) \right| (\lambda - q(t))^{-1/2} |v_J(t, \lambda)|^2 dt \\ &\leq \frac{\exp(8 \int_0^{\infty} I(s, \lambda) ds)}{2^{2J-6}} (\lambda - q(x))^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \int_x^{\infty} (\lambda - q(t))^{-1/2} I(t, \lambda)^2 dt \\ &\leq \frac{I(x, \lambda)}{2^{J-2}} \left( \frac{\exp(8 \int_0^{\infty} I(s, \lambda) ds)}{2^{J-4}} \int_0^{\infty} I(t, \lambda) dt \right) \\ &\leq \frac{I(x, \lambda)}{2^{J-2}} \quad \text{by the second mean value theorem.} \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows.

It may be seen from Lemma 3 that

$$v(x, \lambda) = i(\lambda - q)^{1/2} + \frac{q'}{4(\lambda - q)} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} v_j(x, \lambda),$$

where the right-hand side is uniformly absolutely convergent series of continuous functions for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ , where  $\lambda_0$  satisfies the condition of Lemma 3 in addition to (2.1) at (2.2). We also have, from (5.6), that for  $j = 2, \dots$ ,

$$v'_j = -2 \left( \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} v_l \right) v_j - v_{j-1}^2,$$

so, by Lemma 3 for  $j \geq 3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |v'_j(x, \lambda)| &\leq 2|v_j(x, \lambda)| \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} |v_l(x, \lambda)| + |v_{j-1}(x, \lambda)|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \frac{I(x, \lambda)}{2^{j-3}} \left[ |\lambda - q|^{1/2} + \left| \frac{q'}{4(\lambda - q)} \right| + 8I(x, \lambda) \right] + \frac{I(x, \lambda)^2}{2^{2j-6}} \\ &\leq \frac{I(x, \lambda)}{2^{j-4}} \left\{ |\lambda - q|^{1/2} + \left| \frac{q'}{4(\lambda - q)} \right| + 8I(x, \lambda) + \frac{I(x, \lambda)}{2^{j-2}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} v'_j(x, \lambda)$  is uniformly, absolutely convergent for  $x \geq 0$  and  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ . We have shown that

$$v(x, \lambda) = i(\lambda - q)^{1/2} + \frac{q'}{4(\lambda - q)} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} v_j(x, \lambda)$$

is a complex-valued solution of (5.1) and, in the notation of (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned} T(x, \lambda) &= (\lambda - q(x))^{1/2} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im}\{v_j(x, \lambda)\}, \\ S(x, \lambda) &= \frac{q'(x)}{4(\lambda - q(x))} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re}\{v_j(x, \lambda)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We note from Lemma 3 there exists  $\lambda_0$  such that for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  there is an  $x_0$  such that  $\operatorname{Im}\{v(x_0, \lambda)\} \neq 0$ . Theorem 1 now follows from (4.16) and (4.17).

## 6. A METHOD OF APPROXIMATION

In order to prove Theorem 2 we require a means of approximating asymptotically the solution,  $v$ , of the Riccati equation (5.1).

Let  $u(x, \lambda)$  denote a solution of

$$u' + u^2 + \lambda - q = B(t, \lambda), \quad (6.1)$$

where  $B$  is a  $L^1$  function to be chosen below. We suppose that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (v(x, \lambda) - u(x, \lambda)) = 0. \quad (6.2)$$

Subtraction of (6.1) from (5.1) yields

$$(v - u)' + (v + u)(v - u) = -B, \quad (6.3)$$

whence, by (6.2),

$$v(x, \lambda) - u(x, \lambda) = \int_x^\infty \exp\left(\int_x^t (v + u) ds\right) B(t, \lambda) dt. \quad (6.4)$$

Our method for approximating the solution of (5.1) is to choose a function  $u(x, \lambda)$  and hence a  $B(x, \lambda)$  which satisfies (6.2) and makes the right-hand side of (6.4) small for large  $\lambda$ .

**LEMMA 4.** *If  $\operatorname{Re}\{\int_{x_1}^{x_2} (v(s, \lambda) - u(x, \lambda)) ds\} \leq C_1$  for  $0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 < \infty$  for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ , then  $|v(x, \lambda) - u(x, \lambda)| \leq C \int_x^\infty |B(t, \lambda)| dt$  for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  and  $x \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is immediate from (6.4).

## 7. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We now choose a function,  $u(x, \lambda)$ , satisfying (6.2) and a corresponding function  $B(x, \lambda)$ .

We write

$$u(x, \lambda) = i(\lambda - q)^{1/2} + \sum_{k=2}^N a_k(x)(\lambda - q(x))^{-k/2}. \quad (7.1)$$

Substitution of (7.1) into the left-hand side of (6.1) and selection of the  $a_k(x)$  so that the coefficient of  $(\lambda - q(x))^{-k/2}$  is identically zero for  $k = 0, \dots, N-1$  leads, in a similar way to that used in [9, (6.1)], to the choice

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= \frac{q'}{4}, & a_3 &= \frac{iq''}{8}, & a_4 &= \frac{-q^{(3)}}{16}, \\ a_{k+1} &= \frac{i}{2} \left\{ a'_k + \frac{k-2}{2} q' a_{k-2} + \sum_{s=2}^{k-2} a_s a_{k-2-s} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

for  $k = 4, \dots, N-1$ . We then have that

$$\begin{aligned} B(x, \lambda) &= a'_N (\lambda - q)^{-N/2} + \sum_{k=N}^{N+2} \frac{k-2}{2} q' a_{k-2} (\lambda - q)^{-k/2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=N}^{2N} (\lambda - q)^{-k/2} \sum_{s+t=k} a_s a_t. \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

LEMMA 5.  $a_k(x)$  is real-valued if  $k$  is even and purely imaginary if  $k$  is odd.

*Proof.* The proof follows readily from (7.2) by induction.

LEMMA 6. If  $q \in C^{N-1}[0, \infty)$ ,  $q^{(N-1)}$  is locally absolutely continuous and

- (i)  $q^{(k)}/(-q)^{(k+1)/2} \in L^2[0, \infty)$ ,
- (ii)  $q^{(k)}(t)/(-q(t))^{(k+1)/2} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$

for  $k = 1, \dots, N-1$ , then

$$\frac{a_j^{(k)}(t)}{(-q(t))^{(j+k)/2}} = \frac{i^{j-2} q^{(j+k-1)}(t)}{2^j (-q(t))^{(k+j)/2}} + \frac{l}{(-q(t))^{1/2}}$$

for  $k = 0, N-j+1$ ,  $j = 2, \dots, N$ , and  $t \geq 0$ , where  $l(\cdot)$  denotes an  $L^1$  function with  $l(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* We prove the result by induction. It is trivial for  $j = 2, 3$ , and 4. Suppose the result were true for some  $J \geq 4$ . By Leibnitz' rule and (7.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_{j+1}^{(k)}}{(-q)^{(J+k+1)/2}} &= \frac{ia_j^{(k+1)}}{2(-q)^{(J+k+1)/2}} \\ &+ \frac{i(J-2)}{4(-q)^{1/2}} \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k}{l} \frac{q^{(k-l+1)}}{(-q)^{(k-l+2)/2}} \frac{a_{j-2}^{(l)}}{(-q)^{(J+l-2)/2}} \\ &+ \frac{i}{2(-q)^{1/2}} \sum_{s=2}^{J-2} \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k}{l} \frac{a_s^{(k-l)}}{(-q)^{(k-l+s)/2}} \frac{a_{j-s}^{(l)}}{(-q)^{(J-s+l)/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from the induction hypothesis by the Schwarz inequality.

We see from Lemmas 3, 5, and 6 and condition (iv) of Theorem 2, that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \int_{x_1}^{x_2} (v(s, \lambda) + u(s, \lambda)) ds \right\} \leq C_1 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 < \infty$$

and  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ . It also follows from Lemmas 3 and 6 together with condition (i) of Theorem 2 that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (u(x, \lambda) - v(x, \lambda)) = 0$ . We thus have from Lemma 4 that

$$|u(x, \lambda) - v(x, \lambda)| \leq C \int_x^\infty |B(t, \lambda)| dt \quad \text{for } x \geq 0,$$

where  $B$  is given by (7.3). The result now follows from (2.6) and (2.7).

## 8. A RESULT OF EASTHAM

In [5], Eastham derives an expression for the asymptotic form of  $\rho_\alpha(\lambda)$  when  $q$  is  $M + 2$  times differentiable

$$q(x) \leq -kx^c \quad \text{for } 0 < c \leq 2, k > 0, \quad (8.1)$$

and

$$|q^{(v)}(x)| \leq (\text{const}) x^{c-v} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq v \leq M + 2. \quad (8.2)$$

It may readily be seen that under these circumstances

$$\left| \frac{q^{(n)}(x)}{(-q(x))^{(n+1)/2}} \right| \leq (\text{const.}) x^{(c/2)(1-n)-n} \leq \text{const. } x^{-1}$$

for  $n \geq 1$  and

$$\left| \frac{q^{(2m-1)}}{(-q)^m} \right| \leq (\text{const.}) x^{c(1-m)-2m+1} \leq x^{-3} \quad \text{for } m \geq 2,$$

so that Theorem 2 is applicable. The computation of the error term is more complicated, but it may be shown that for each  $n \geq 2$ ,  $a_n(x)$  is bounded by  $(\text{const.}) x^{[(n+1)/3](c-1)-\Gamma_n}$ , where  $[(n+1)/3]$  denotes the integer part of  $(n+1)/3$  and  $\Gamma_n$  is the remainder when  $n+1$  is divided by 3. The  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  functions of (2.6) and (2.7) may then be estimated using [5, Lemma 3].

## REFERENCES

1. F. V. Atkinson, "On the Asymptotic Behaviour of the Titchmarsh-Weyl  $m$ -Coefficient and the Spectral Function for Scalar Second Order Differential Expressions," Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 964, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
2. C. Bennewitz, Spectral asymptotics for Sturm-Liouville equations, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **59** (1989), 294-338.
3. E. A. Coddington and N. Levinson, "Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations," McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955.
4. M. S. P. Eastham, The asymptotic form of the spectral functions in Sturm-Liouville problems with continuous spectrum, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **213** (1997), 573-582.

5. M. S. P. Eastham, The asymptotic form of the spectral function in Sturm–Liouville problems with a large potential like  $-x^c$  ( $c \leq 2$ ), *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh A* **128** (1998), 37–45.
6. C. T. Fulton and S. A. Pruess, Eigenvalue and eigenfunction asymptotics for regular Sturm–Liouville problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **188** (1994), 215–217.
7. D. J. Gilbert and D. B. Pearson, On subordinary and analysis of the spectrum of one dimensional Schrödinger operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **128** (1987), 30–56.
8. B. J. Harris, The asymptotic form of the spectral functions associated with a class of Sturm–Liouville equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **100** (1985), 343–360.
9. B. J. Harris, The form of the spectral functions associated with Sturm–Liouville equations with continuous spectrum, *Mathematika* **44** (1997), 149–161.
10. E. C. Titchmarsh, “Eigenfunction Expansions Part 1,” 2nd ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1962.